```
ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>brenda smithingell
<ADDRESS1>1408 renfrew
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>owosso
<STATE>MT
<ZIP>48867
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>sweetvanillahigh@netscape.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>brenda smithingell
<ADDRESS1>1408 renfrew
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>owosso
<STATE>MI
<ZIP>48867
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>sweetvanillahigh@netscape.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>brenda smithingell
<ADDRESS1>1408 renfrew
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>owosso
<STATE>MI
<ZIP>48867
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>sweetvanillahigh@netscape.com
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.
UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR Under the flat fee
proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance
calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many
calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential
```

customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair! UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR UNFAIR I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

brenda smithingell 1408 renfrew owosso, Michigan 48867